Evaluate the variation of (Ver. 1 & 3) ASTER Global DEM Datasets for the Sanam Mountain – Iraq
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**ABSTRACT.** The most important geomorphological systems are determining elevations and contour maps of land features. In this research, two satellite scene versions of ASTER GDEM (1 and 3) were utilized to examine the relief and geomorphological statistics of Sanam Mountain in Basra Province. The study, through a comparison of the shading shapes of Sanam Mountain for both ASTER GDEM versions and the Sentinel-2 satellite image, showed that the surface relief of the ASTER GDEM Ver. 1 is closer to the real scene of the mountain. In contrast, the boundary and recorded elevation for the highest peak were better represented by Ver. 3., which was closer to the real elevation of field measurements. **KEYWORDS.** Digital Elevation Model, Stereoscopic vision, Geomorphological analysis, ASTER GDEM Spaceborne.

# INTRODUCTION

Elevation data, contours, and maps for a certain region can be represented as a 3D model using a digital elevation model (DEM), where it plays a significant role in reconstructing landform and elevation accuracy of the earth sciences and the regional geomorphology research. When other sources of data regarding the height of the study site are absent, it serves as the only source of information [[1](#Reu09),[2](#Muh13),[3](#AlD16),[4](#TAH231)]. Currently, there are numerous freely accessible worldwide DEMs, including (GLOBE DEM, SRTM, GTOPO 30, ASTER DEM, AW3D30, DTED-2, EU-DEM). Their spatial resolution ranges from 30 m to 1 km. The kind and degree of relief, the techniques used to collect elevation data, DEM generation, and DEM spatial resolution are only a few of the variables that affect how accurate a DEM is. The quality of the DEM created from topographic map data is crucial for determining the validity of geomorphometric analysis [[5](#Szy19),[6](#Zha94),[7](#Hap20),[8](#Hus21),[9](#Maj221)]. Global Digital Elevation Model (GDEM) of the Earth's land surface was jointly issued by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) of the United States and Japan's Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI), to validate and characterize its model that derived from the optical stereo data acquired by the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) satellite, through the Earth Remote Sensing Data Analysis Center (ERSDAC) and the NASA Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC) at no charge to users worldwide as an assistance to the Global Earth Observing System of Systems (GEOSS). ASTER GDEM was released in Version 1 on 29 June 2009, as a publicly available DEM. It was the first freely available DEMs on a global scale, the sole DEM with high resolution coverage of the whole Earth's land surface, it has greatly contributed to the global earth observing community and has been widely used by many users. This high-resolution global DEM product was developed based on data from the optical sensors ASTER by collecting along-track stereoscopic capability over the terrain. Two NIR cameras on the ASTER satellite were used to produce the GDEM. One faces the nadir while the other is tilted in the direction of the along-track. The images produce overlapping stereo imagery after a brief delay, enabling the creation of a photogrammetric DEM [[10](#AST09),[11](#Uue20),[12](#Ham20),[13](#Naj171)]. The ASTER GDEM Ver.1 methodology utilized automated processing for the whole ASTER archive, using (1,264,118) individual scenes by the stereo correlation. It was produced by stacking all individual cloud-masked scene DEMs and non-cloud-masked image DEMs as reference data, and then using different methods (statistical approach) to repair and replace abnormalities or missing data into (1° × 1°) tiles [[14](#Abr20),[15](#Abd21),[16](#Neh25),[17](#MAMer)]. Stereoscopic vision can be defined as the ability to classify features in the 3D world to estimate depth. The acquired stereo data was photogrammetrically processed for satellite (ASTER GDEM Ver.1 stereo images) triangulation using the rational polynomial coefficients (RPCs) to generate DEM. Photogrammetry is applied for mapping using very high-resolution stereoscopic satellite images [[18](#Tho77),[19](#Kum21),[20](#Zha21)]. In August 2019, the ASTER Science Team released the final version of the GDEM, GDEM3, by the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC), which provides global spatial coverage. Several hundred thousand additional scenes were added to produce data of the highest quality and with the strictest automatic and manual anomaly correction program GDEM possible, where the ASTER GDEM Ver. 3 images have cloud coverage because ASTER is an optical sensor. It provided a global digital elevation model (DEM) of land areas on Earth at a spatial resolution of 1 arc-second (30 m) posting horizontally at the equator. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and Japan's Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI) collaborated to create the ASTER GDEM data versions. The Tokyo-based Sensor Information Laboratory Corporation (SILC) creates the ASTER GDEM data versions. [[14](#Abr20),[21](#Abr19)].

A literature review for related work is:

Guosong Zhao and et.al., 2010 [[22](#Zha10)] studied the performance of the Global Digital Elevation Model (GDEM) from optical stereo data acquired by ASTER GDEM v1 and SRTM (the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission) satellites. They showed the better performance of ASTER GDEM v1 in the flat regions than in the mountainous regions, due to its having many anomalies in data, which are caused by the methodology to produce the ASTER GDEM v1, it had lower elevations approximately (5 m) compared with the SRTM. They recommended should improve the DEM quality of the ASTER GDEM and a filter operation was used to remove the anomalies data.

K. Jacobsen and R. Passin, 2010 [[23](#Jac10)] analyzed and compared the ASTER GDEM with SRTM DEM, SPOT 5 HRS, and Cartosat 1 height models. They showed that the ASTER GDEM in most conditions had an improved accuracy with a higher number of images used for overlapping height models, depending upon the region, especially in regions with low cloud coverage. They illustrated that the ASTER and SRTM height models are dependent upon the morphology and the land cover, so no homogeneous accuracy can be predicted, where the accuracy for these height models depends usually linearly upon the tangent of terrain slope, not only the standard deviation, the height models have different regular errors.

Bernadett Dobre and et.al, 2021 [[24](#Dob21)] illustrated the limitations and advantages of the digital elevation models (DEMs) covering the Desatoya study region, (such as TDX-12, LIDAR30, SRTM1, ASTER GDEM, AW3D30, TDX-30, SRTM3, TDX-90, and MERIT), which is created from different acquisition methods and at various spatial resolutions, through extracting geomorphic surface remnants in a semi-arid, mountainous topographic environment. They confirmed that although the tested models were known, their accuracy of peak detection is needed for the study. They determined the peaks as remnants of degraded geomorphic surfaces from both a statistical and a geomorphometric approach, which can help to reconstruct geomorphic surfaces, availability of information on the previous erosion processes, and the present state of the surfaces, and evaluate DEM applicability to set the overall accuracy and paleo surface detection.

The main goal of this research is to study the validity of the first and third versions of ASTER GDEM that released in 2009 & 2019 respectively to describe the relief digital elevations by comparing the shape and its statistics and the highest elevation (peak value) for the study area at (Sanam mountain), which located in Basra province, Iraq.

# METHOD AND MATERIAL

## Study region description and the data used

The study location is in the Al-Zubair area of Basra province, which is in southern Iraq. Figure 1 shows the geographic location of the available data, as illustrated in Table 1, with different sensors, and a geological map for southern Iraq (Determined the study region by a red frame (see Figure 1 (D & E)). The satellite scenes were downloaded from ERSDAC and NASA’s Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC), and the United States Center for Earth Resources, Observation, and Science [[25](#ERS20),[26](#Sur87),[27](#Sha18),[28](#Khu)].

Table (1). Information about the satellite scenes used

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Satellite | Spatial Resolution | Site Location | Released Date | Scene Dimensions (pixels) |
| ASTER GDEM Ver.1 | 1 arc-second (30 m) | N30 and E47 | 29 June 2009 |  |
| ASTER GDEM Ver.3 | 1 arc-second (30 m) | N30 and E47 | August 2019 |  |
| Sentinel-2 | 20 m | Path 166 / Row 039 | 25 July 2019 |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| b  **a c** | |
|  |  |
| **d** | **e** |
| FIGURE 1.ASTER scenes on; (a) Iraq map, of (b) ASTER GDEM Ver.1, (c) ASTER GDEM Ver.3, d & e) the true color of Sentinel-2 scene, and a geological map for southern Iraq [[29](#Khu25)] | |

In this research, the Sanam Mountain was chosen as a study region. This mountain constitutes the prominent geomorphic attributes in the southwest of Iraq, and it lies about 48 km south of Basra province [[30](#AlM19)]. This Sanam Mountain lies in the Dibdiba plain, which belongs to the Mesopotamian Zone, and it has an unstable shelf. On the other hand, concerning the tectonic divisions of Iraq. This structure can be found inside the basins of the region between the river valleys that constitute the Arabian plate's folds. [[31](#Sis171),[32](#Fai681),[33](#Sol071),[34](#Naj22),[35](#SAQ212)]. The term mountain is used for public naming, it is not a mountain because its elevation is less than the mountain height (600 m) above sea level, being the only hill elevated and isolated in the city of Basra province, as defined by Fairbridge [[32](#Fai681)].

# Research Methodological

The process that has been made can be described through this summary:

1. Determine the threshold value that separates the Sanam Mountain from the adjacent regions.
2. Isolate the Sanam Mountain.
3. Calculate the mountain geomorphological factors and statistics.
4. Constructing the mountain shading shape for both ASTER GDEM versions.
5. Comparing the two shading shapes with a real image of the mountain captured by the Sentinel-2 satellite image on 25 July 2019, and the highest elevation of the two DEMs with a field data measurement.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Determining the boundaries of the mountain is an important point in this research, so that we can judge the validity of the digital elevation model for the two versions of the ASTER GDEM, using the QGIS 3.26 program, to study the digital elevation models for the two versions based on the change in elevation around the mountain. The height of 30 m above sea level was chosen as the starting point, the boundaries of the mountain, because the average height of the land around the mountain ranges from (27-29) m above sea level. When it rises 30 m above sea level, the altitude begins to increase rapidly to form Sennam Mountain. The region above 30 m was chosen to be the outer boundary of the mountain, as shown in Figure 2, on which the contour lines are shown to distribute the heights of the mountain with an interval of 10 m between one line and another.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| **a** | **b** |
|  |  |
| **c** | **d** |
| FIGURE 2.The elevation contours with 10 m interval value for; (a) ASTER GDEM Ver. 1, (b) its histogram, (c) ASTER GDEM Ver. 3, and (d) its histogram | |

It can be seen that the diversity of elevations in the ver. 1 is much more than in the ver. 3 as shown in the probability distribution function (histogram) curve of the digital elevation models, although the Sanam mountain relief in the ver. 1 is less than the ver. 3. The Geomorphological statistics characteristics, such as (minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation elevation, area, and volume) of the mountain were calculated for both digital elevation models, as shown in Table 2.

Table (2). Geomorphological statistics of Sanam Mountain for the two ASTER GDEM versions

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Satellite** | **Min Elevation** | **Max Elevation** | **Mean Elevation** | **STD Elevation** | **Area m2** | **Volume m3** |
| ASTER GDEM Ver.1 | 31 | 145 | 51.06071 | 22.99353 | 7605000 | 388316736 |
| ASTER GDEM Ver.3 | 31 | 158 | 47.7677718 | 21.1553326 | 13382100 | 639233024 |

According to figure 3 and table 1, the locations of Sanam mountain using ASTER GDEM Ver.1, and Ver.3 are specified between (30° 8' 17.01"- 30° 6' 25.01") latitude and (47° 36' 16.00" - 47° 38' 27.01") longitude with an area of about (760.5 𝑘m2), and (30° 8' 26.51"- 30° 6' 6.51") latitude and (47° 35' 71.51" - 47° 38' 39.51") longitude with an area of about (1338.21 𝑘m2), respectively. The Sanam mountain shading shapes of both ASTER GDIM versions are constructed to find the best matching for these images, by comparing them with the mountain shape using the Sentinel-2 satellite image, which overlays the boundary of the Ver1 (blue line) and Ver3 (red line) mountain DEM, as shown in Figure 3.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| **a** | |
|  |  |
| **b** | **c** |
| FIGURE 3.(a) Sentinel-2 image, (b) shading shapes of ASTER GDEM ver.1, and (c) ver.3 | |

By comparing the mountain boundary for ver.1 (blue line) and the Sentinel-2 satellite image in (figure 3a), it was less than the real boundaries, while the ver. 3 was closer to the optimal border of the mountain (red line), which leads to the mountain base area and volume being less than the right value for ver. 1, in contrast to ver. 3, since the area and the volume are closer to the right value. One more thing can be noticed by comparing the mountain surface relief of the two GDEM versions with the Sentinel-2 satellite image, in the ver. 1, The surface relief of the mountain is closer to the surface relief in the satellite image. Unlike the ver. 3, where the shape of the surface relief is much coarse to the surface relief of the mountain in a satellite image, given that the spatial resolution of the mountain taken by the Sentinel-2 satellite is (20 m), unlike the spatial resolution of the ASTER GDEM which is (30 m), i.e. the surface relief of the mountain in the shading shape of the two ASTER GDEM versions is less than what it is in a satellite image. As for the elevation of the highest peak in the mountain, it was recorded for the ver. 3 closer to the real elevation of the mountain, which was measured in the field, where the difference in the elevation measurement for the ver. 3 is )1 m), and for the ver. 1 is )12 m). As for the location of the highest peak in the mountain, it was determined correctly and identical to both versions, compared to the field measurement of the highest peak, as shown in Table 3.

Table (3).Mountain's highest elevation and its location for ASTER GDE two versions, with field measurement
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# CONCLUSIONS

The third version of the ASTER GDEM was able to determine the boundary and the highest peak of Sanam Mountain in a manner that is close to reality, unlike the first version, which failed in this regard. Sanam Mountain, through the first version, is closer to the surface relief found in Sanam Mountain. Accordingly, we find that the last (third version) of the ASTER GDEM is better for studying the structural forms of the Earth's surface, keeping in mind that the shape of the recorded surface relief of this version is an exaggeration of what exists.
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