

Comprehensive Evaluation and Comparison of Hemispherical Energy Analyzer Components for Enhanced Electron Spectroscopy Systems

Muqdad N. Al-Hamdni^{1, a)}, Abdullah I. M. Alabdullah^{1, b)} and Khalid Q. Kheder^{2, c)}

¹*Mosul University, College of Sciences, Physics Department, Mosul, Iraq*

²*Mosul University, College of Sciences, Medical Physics Department, Mosul, Iraq*

^{a)} Corresponding author: muqdad.24scp139@student.uomosul.edu.iq

^{b)}abdullahidrees@uomosul.edu.iq

^{c)}khalid.alshawi@uomosul.edu.iq

Abstract. This study offers an in-depth evaluation of hemispherical energy analyzers (HEAs), with a particular focus on key components such as electron sources, lens systems, electrostatic mirrors, and detectors. Based on a review of 179 research papers, 47 significant studies were identified, highlighting advancements and challenges in HEA design. Key findings emphasize the role of advanced electron sources, including Schottky emitters and transition-edge sensors (TES), in improving energy resolution and emission stability. The analysis also underscores the effectiveness of multipole and paracentric lens systems in enhancing electron beam focusing and energy dispersion. However, challenges persist in optimizing the integration of these components, particularly regarding electrostatic field interactions. The study concludes that further innovation is needed in structural design to achieve optimal balance in compactness, stability, and functionality, especially for space applications, while addressing limitations in detector sensitivity and energy resolution.

Keywords: Hemispherical Energy Analyzers, Electron Spectroscopy, Energy Resolution, Electron Sources, Detector Performance.

INTRODUCTION

Electron spectroscopy requires hemispherical energy analyzers that help to analyze electronic properties and surface chemistry. Since the high-resolution electron impact spectrometers were invented in the late 20th century, these devices have undergone considerable improvements [1]. New advances have concentrated on improving many of the energy resolution, angular acceptance and detector performance especially in materials science, nanotechnology and in semiconductor testing [2][3]. This need to achieve higher energy resolutions and wide angular acceptance has spawned improvements in electrostatic mirrors, lens, and detector technology [4][5]. Although major steps have been made, there are still problems to streamline major elements electron sources, lens and electron beam detectors. The problems of the fringing fields and bias in the entry positions and trade-offs between energy resolution, transmission and angular acceptance are still a source of performance problems [6][7]. These open questions restrict the maximum usefulness of hemispherical energy analyzers in high-precision electron spectroscopy [8][9]. The interaction of electrostatic fields, lens systems and detection mechanisms would be essential to the development of such analyzers. Recent efforts have emphasized the essence of developing the geometry of the electrode and focusing to enhance the resolution and the acceptance [10][11]. Moreover, the correlation between the radius of the analyzer and potential difference is critical in the effort to attain optimal performance [12]. Here, the geometrical design and alignment of critical elements, including magnetic lenses and electron sources, has been critical in providing great accuracy in the control of the electron beam [13]. As an example, the relative position of the tip of the pole piece and the iron arm has proved to optimize the performance of the lens significantly [14]. This study will investigate such developments and issues with the aim of enhancing the performance and integration of major systems in hemispherical energy analyzers, with particular references to the tradeoffs between energy resolution and angular acceptance and the design of the entire analyzer [15].

The goal of this research is to tackle some of the key challenges in improving hemispherical energy analyzers, especially when it comes to enhancing energy resolution, angular acceptance, and the performance

of detectors. While there have been significant advancements, issues like optimizing the electron source, lens systems, and beam detectors still limit the full potential of these tools. This study focuses on finding the right balance between these factors to help improve the overall design of the analyzers. The importance of this work lies in its potential to advance electron spectroscopy, which is crucial for fields like materials science, nanotechnology, and semiconductor testing, ultimately leading to more precise and efficient spectrometers.

THEORETICAL PART

We began with the original research query, which included the components: Electron source, question lenses system, hemispherical electrostatic energy mirrors, analyzer structural design, electron beam detector, analyzing and measurement devices. From this, we expanded it into more specific search queries to ensure both comprehensiveness and manageability. These queries covered: Hemispherical energy analyzer components, focusing on the electron source, lenses system, electrostatic mirrors, analyzer structural design, and analyzing and measurement devices; advancements in design and functionality of hemispherical energy analyzers, particularly in electron spectroscopy applications, emphasizing energy resolution, multifunctional capabilities, and innovative configurations; and recent advancements in hemispherical energy analyzers for enhanced detection efficiency and energy resolution, focusing on space instrumentation and spectroscopy. We then assembled a pool of 179 candidate papers 116 from these search queries and 63 from citation chaining and ranked them by relevance. Out of 178 relevant papers, 47 were found to be highly pertinent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section maps the research terrain of the literature of Hemispherical Energy Analyzer Components: Electron Source, Lenses System, Electrostatic Mirrors, Analyzer Structural Design, Electron Beam Detector, Analyzing and Measurement Devices, a wide technological overview advancement of design across innovations, these performance subsystems. Evaluations, studies, and both experimental and simulation and theoretical methods, with special attention to maximizing the energy resolution, angular acceptance, and detector characteristics in a variety of application problems, such as surface science, atomic collisions and space plasma diagnostics. The comparative analysis provides specific answers to included inquiries by comparing the features of the electron sources, the lens and mirror performances, structural sturdiness, the performances of the detector, and data gathering techniques, thus, outlining the contemporary challenges and outlining the directions of the future development.

TABLE 1. Comparison of Electron Source and Detector System Performance in Spectroscopy Studies

Study (Source)	Source Performance	Lens and Mirror Efficiency	Design Robustness	Detector Sensitivity & Resolution	Data Acquisition & Analysis Capability
Brunt et al. (1977) [1]	Low-energy electron source, 12 meV resolution	Optimized electron transport	Hemispherical deflector stability	Conventional channel multiplier	Empirical energy resolution optimization
Céolin et al. (2010) [2]	Stable emission, delay line detector	High resolving power, wide angle	Rotatable spectrometer, vacuum-compatible	Delay line detector, time converter	Coincidence measurement, multi-particle detection
Berntsen et al. (2010) [3]	Electron source for spin and angle resolution	2D detector, mini-Mott polarimeter	Simultaneous angle and spin detection	Spin detection integrated	Parallel data acquisition
Hashimoto et al. (2024) [4]	High kinetic energy compatible source	Retarding field analyzer, parallel lens	High-voltage operation, two-stage analyzer	Not specified	Lock-in detection for bandpass analysis
Sise & Zouros (2015) [5]	Varied entry electron source	Biased hemispherical deflector	Position sensitivity analysis	Not specified	Simulations support experimental results
Kugeler et al. (2003) [8]	Not specified	Compact for microsats and planetary	Microsat design for reduced payload	Not specified	3D field of view for reduced payload
Ogawa & Takai (2018) [10]	Not specified	Offset cylindrical lens	High accuracy, low noise	Not specified	Energy resolution dual methods
Offi et al. (2005) [11]	Electron source with hemispherical deflector	Optimized lens voltages	Polarization schemes for magnification	2D position-sensitive detector	Voltage tuning improves electron control

Study (Source)	Source Performance	Lens and Mirror Efficiency	Design Robustness	Detector Sensitivity & Resolution	Data Acquisition & Analysis Capability
Kambarova et al. (2022) [19]	Not specified	Multipole electrode, third-order focus	Compact design for operational flexibility	Not specified	Numerical performance analysis
Kambarova et al. (2018) [20]	Not specified	Electrostatic decapole mirror	Compact analyzer with high parameters	Not specified	Numerical energy resolution calculation
Saulebekov et al. (2014) [21]	Schottky emitters	Quadrupole-cylindrical field mirror	Symmetric mirror for two focusing regimes	Not specified	Corpuscular-optical design calculations
Zhu et al. (2015) [22]	Electrostatic lens for beam deceleration	Energy-analyzing field generation	Not specified	Not specified	Signal generation integrated with energy analysis
Ibach et al. (2016) et al. (2016)[23]	Monochromatic beam for surface excitation	Double-cylindrical monochromator	Large momentum range measurement	Commercial hemispherical analyzer	High throughput precision
Cipriani et al. (2012) [24]	High energy resolution source	Matched to hemispherical analyzer	Add-on device for photoemission chambers	Not specified	Rapid phonon dispersion measurements
Belov & Yavor (2000) [25]	Electron beam for large emitters	Retarding field analyzer, wide aperture	Large active area, angular acceptance	Not specified	Secondary particle modeling
Belov & Yavor (2001) [26]	Not specified	Optimized lens for synchrotron studies	Versatile design for synchrotron use	Not specified	Simplified modern analyzer evaluation
Martinez et al. (2016) [27]	High energy compatible source	Virtual slit and deceleration lens	Energy resolution improvement simulation	2D position-sensitive detector	Faster data acquisition
Benis et al. (1998) [28]	Electron source with 4-element lens	Electron deceleration for improved resolution	Compact spectrograph for ion-atom studies	Not specified	Ion-optics simulations
Benis et al. (1999) [29]	Asymmetric entrance aperture	High count rate spectrograph	Spectrometer optimized for high throughput	Not specified	Improved focusing and resolution
Benis et al. (1999) [30]	4-element focusing lens	Energy and momentum mapping	Spectrometer optimized for throughput	Not specified	Resolution estimation
Doukas et al. (2015) [31]	Metastable Auger states source	Injection lens for solid angle eval	Solid angle simulation with kinematic effects	Not specified	Monte Carlo simulations for line shape
Nounis et al. (2015) [32]	Piezo-controlled detector positioning	Optimized lens voltages	Position sensitivity for best resolution	2D position-sensitive detector	Voltage optimization improves resolution
Dogan et al. (2013) [33]	5-element entry lens, movable positions	Paracentric hemispherical deflector	Solid angle effects simulation	Not specified	Performance improved by simulations
Benis et al. (2015) [34]	Metastable Auger states source	Effective solid angle hemispherical analyzer	Optimized lens magnification	Not specified	High throughput hemispherical analyzers
Zouros et al. (2005) [35]	Zoom lens, position sensitive detector	Analytical resolution expressions	Energy resolution dependence via ray-tracing	Not specified	Experimental data supporting simulations
Zouros & Benis (2005) [36]	Virtual entry aperture controlled by lens	Paracentric deflector with fringing field	TES detectors, noise-limited energy resolution	Proof-of-principle experiments	TES spectroscopy demonstration
Patel et al. (2024) [37]	Transition-edge sensor electron source	Entry fringing field utilized in analyzer	Improvements for integrated optics	Not specified	Resolution estimation simulations
Tas et al. (2007) [38]	Variable entry angle and energy source	Electrostatic input lens	Solid angle effects simulation	Not specified	Design improvements for resolution
Sise et al. (2007) [39]	Fringing field-controlled electron source	Two hemispherical electrodes	Energy resolution via ray-tracing	Not specified	Superior focusing and resolution
Sise & Zouros	Biased hemispherical deflector source	Nested electrostatic analyzers	Large area and angular aperture	High collection field detector	Transit time distribution for coincidence

Study (Source)	Source Performance	Lens and Mirror Efficiency	Design Robustness	Detector Sensitivity & Resolution	Data Acquisition & Analysis Capability
(2016) [40]			optimized		
Saito et al. (2009) [41]	Fast electron spectrum analysis source	Retarding field analyzer with buffer grids	Focusing and resolution improved by radius/bias adjustments	Not specified	High time resolution measurements
Nakamae et al. (1985) [42]	Uniform extracting field electron source	Advanced lens system	Energy range and angular acceptance improved	Not specified	High time resolution for space missions
Wannberg (2009) [43]	Photoelectron spectrometer source	Intrinsic lensing hemispherical analyzer	Focusing and resolution improved by fringing field	Not specified	High time resolution for space missions
Dogan et al. (2014) [44]	Variable entry positions electron source	Octupole-cylindrical field analyzer	High luminosity for eV to keV energies	Not specified	Enhanced IC testing performance

30 studies found that electron sources such as Schottky emitters, monochromatic beams, and transition-edge sensors significantly influence energy spread and emission stability, directly impacting analyzer resolution [1][16][37]. 12 studies demonstrated that integrating electron sources with specialized lenses or retarding fields improves beam focusing and energy distribution control, enhancing measurement precision [10][11][17]. Several studies highlighted the importance of source stability under varying operational conditions, including temperature and extraction voltage, to maintain consistent energy resolution [18][38]. Emerging technologies like TES detectors offer intrinsic energy sensitivity, potentially surpassing traditional electron sources in resolution and measurement efficiency [37]. 35 studies reported advanced lens systems and electrostatic mirrors that optimize electron beam focusing, angular acceptance, and energy dispersion, including multipole and paracentric designs [6][19][27]. Controlled use of fringing fields and offset lenses has been shown to improve first- order focusing and energy resolution without additional correction electrodes [39]. Combined lens and mirror configurations, such as parallelizing lenses with retarding field analyzers, enable operation at higher voltages and wider energy ranges [4][20]. Numerical simulations and experimental validations confirm that optimized lens voltages and configurations significantly enhance analyzer performance. 25 studies emphasized compact, mechanically stable designs facilitating integration into various experimental setups, including rotatable spectrometers and microsat platforms [2][45][46]. Designs incorporating vacuum-compatible goniometers and additive manufacturing techniques improve operational versatility and reduce payload constraints [2][45]. Structural innovations focus on minimizing size while maintaining high luminosity and energy resolution, balancing robustness with functional complexity [19][20]. Some studies addressed challenges in maintaining mechanical stability under high voltage and thermal conditions, proposing solutions such as ceramic hemispheres and shielding [6][47]. 20 studies utilized advanced detectors including delay line detectors, 2D position- sensitive detectors, and channel electron multipliers to achieve high spatial and energy resolution [2][8][28]. Integration of detectors with spin and angle-resolving capabilities enhances multidimensional data acquisition [3]. Transition-edge sensors represent a novel detector technology with noise-limited energy resolution and potential for improved electron detection efficiency [37]. Detector placement and configuration, such as adjustable detector distance from the focal plane, critically affect energy resolution and measurement accuracy [5][32]. 18 studies demonstrated improvements in data throughput and multiplexing via parallel detection methods, lock-in detection, and time-to-digital converters [2][22][23]. Coincidence measurement capabilities and multi-particle detection enhance experimental versatility and data accuracy [2][40]. Simulation-based approaches support optimization of acquisition parameters and correction of kinematic effects for accurate line shape modeling [31][24]. High count rate spectrometers with reduced dead-time and advanced data processing enable faster and more precise electron spectroscopy [29][41]. The pursuit reviewed literature on hemispherical energy analyzer components reveals significant advancements in electron source design, lens systems, electrostatic mirrors, structural configurations, electron beam detectors, and measurement devices. A recurring theme is the of enhanced energy resolution and angular acceptance, often achieved through innovative lens and mirror designs or optimized detector technologies. However, despite these technological strides, challenges remain in balancing compactness, stability, and multifunctionality, as well as in fully exploiting the potential of emerging detector technologies. Methodological diversity and varying experimental conditions across studies sometimes limit direct comparability, underscoring the need for standardized evaluation frameworks.

TABLE 2. Strengths and Weaknesses of Various Aspects in Electron Spectroscopy Systems

Aspect	Strengths	Weaknesses
Electron Source	Advanced sources like Schottky emitters enable sub-10 meV resolutions. Thermal emission and emitter geometries improve reproducibility and stability [16][17][18].	Achieving narrow energy spreads is challenging due to source instabilities and thermal fluctuations [16]. Idealized source models may not capture operational complexities [17].
Lens Systems and Electrostatic Mirrors	Multi-element and offset cylindrical lenses improve beam focusing and resolution. Electrostatic mirrors enhance spatial focusing and energy dispersion [10][11][27][6].	Complex lens systems require precise voltage tuning and alignment. Electrostatic mirrors may show limited resolution improvements due to residual aberrations [27][6].
Analyzer Structural Design	Innovations like rotatable spectrometers and additive manufacturing improve analyzer stability and versatility [2][45]. Controlled fringing fields improve resolution without extra electrodes [5][39].	Compact designs struggle with large acceptance angles and high voltage operation. Alignment sensitivities degrade performance, especially in miniaturized instruments [4][45].
Electron Beam Detectors	Advanced detectors like delay line detectors and PSDs improve spatial and energy resolution [2][28][29]. TES offers intrinsic energy sensitivity [37].	Conventional detectors face count rate, spatial resolution, and dead-time limitations. TES technology is still experimental and faces integration challenges [29][37].
Analyzing and Measurement Devices	Multi-dimensional detection systems and lock-in techniques improve precision and throughput [4][22][23]. Simulation optimization refines energy resolution [32][35][36].	Devices require complex calib

In electron spectroscopy research, various limitations are present that hinder the development and optimization of analyzer systems. These limitations span from experimental validation gaps and narrow energy range focuses to technological constraints in detector systems. Additionally, challenges related to system integration, fringing field effects, and the overreliance on simulations without real-world data further complicate the accuracy and applicability of findings. Addressing these limitations is crucial for improving the performance and versatility of electron spectroscopy analyzers. This table summarizes these limitations and highlights key papers associated with each issue.

TABLE 3. Areas of Limitation in Electron Spectroscopy Systems and Associated Studies

Area of Limitation	Description of Limitation	Papers which have Limitation
Limited Experimental Validation	Several studies propose novel analyzer designs or theoretical models but lack extensive experimental validation, limiting the external validity and practical applicability of their findings. This constraint weakens confidence in real-world performance.	[1][4][11][16][19][44][47]
Narrow Energy Range Focus	Many papers focus on specific energy ranges (e.g., low or medium energies), restricting the generalizability of results across the full operational spectrum of hemispherical analyzers. This limits comprehensive understanding of component performance under varied conditions.	[2][3][34][37][45]
Detector Technology Limitations	Existing electron beam detectors often have trade-offs between sensitivity, spatial resolution, and compatibility, constraining the overall analyzer performance. This limitation affects the accuracy and efficiency of electron detection in practical applications.	[4][9][24][39]
Insufficient Integration Studies	Few studies address the integration of multiple components (electron source, lenses, mirrors, detectors) into a cohesive system, limiting insights into system-level performance and operational challenges. This gap affects the holistic optimization of analyzers.	[5][27][31][34]
Fringing Field Effects	The impact of fringing fields on energy resolution and focusing is often treated theoretically or via simulation, with limited experimental corroboration, reducing the reliability of proposed correction schemes and their practical implementation.	[6][20][21]
Limited Angular Acceptance	Some designs prioritize energy resolution at the expense of angular acceptance, which restricts the analyzer's ability to capture wide angular distributions, thereby limiting applicability in experiments requiring broad angular data acquisition.	[5][9][39]

Area of Limitation	Description of Limitation	Papers which have Limitation
Simulation-Heavy Approaches	Heavy reliance on numerical simulations without corresponding experimental data introduces uncertainty regarding real-world performance, affecting the robustness and external validity of conclusions drawn from such studies.	
Overemphasis on Single Components	Many studies focus on isolated components (e.g., lenses or mirrors) without considering their interaction effects within the full analyzer system, which limits understanding of cumulative performance impacts and system optimization.	

CONCLUSION

The research provides an in-depth evaluation of hemispherical energy analyzers (HEAs) in electron spectroscopy, focusing on key components such as electron sources, lens systems, electrostatic mirrors, and detectors. The findings highlight the significant role of advanced electron sources, like Schottky emitters and transition-edge sensors (TES), in enhancing energy resolution and emission stability. The study emphasizes that TES detectors have the potential to surpass traditional sources in efficiency. The research also identifies how multipole and paracentric lens designs improve electron beam focusing and energy dispersion, contributing to better resolution and angular acceptance. However, challenges remain in optimizing the integration of these components, particularly the interplay between electrostatic fields and lens systems, which affects overall analyzer performance. Moreover, the analysis reveals the importance of structural design innovations to balance compactness, stability, and functionality, particularly for space applications. Despite progress in improving energy resolution and detector performance, the study stresses that there are still limitations in detector sensitivity, energy resolution under varying conditions, and the need for more integrated system-level research. The results offer guidance for future developments in HEA design, calling for innovations in structural design and the integration of components to further enhance their performance and versatility in electron spectroscopy.

REFERENCES

1. J. N. H. Brunt, F. H. Read, and G. C. King, "The realisation of high energy resolution using the hemispherical electrostatic energy selector in electron impact spectrometry," *Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 134-139, 1977. doi: 10.1088/0022-3735/10/2/007.
2. D. Céolin et al., "A rotatable electron spectrometer for multicoincidence experiments," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 81, no. 6, p. 063112, 2010. doi: 10.1063/1.3449333.
3. M. H. Berntsen et al., "A spin- and angle-resolving photoelectron spectrometer," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 81, no. 3, 2010. doi: 10.1063/1.3342120.
4. Y. Hashimoto et al., "High-resolution electron energy analyzer with wide acceptance angle for hard x-ray photoelectron holography: Integrating pescatora and retarding field analyzer," *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 2024. doi: 10.35848/1347-4065/ad9447.
5. O. Sise and T. J. M. Zouros, "Position, energy, and transit time distributions in a hemispherical deflector analyzer with position sensitive detector," *Spectroscopy*, 2015, pp. 1-20. doi: 10.1155/2015/153513.
6. Z. Kambarova, "Expansion of the functional capacities of electrostatic mirror analyzers for electron spectroscopy," *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, 2023. doi: 10.15587/1729-4061.2023.289781.
7. X. Xinrong, C. Sears, and C. Nikolai, "High resolution electron energy analyzer," Xue-Tao Z. and Jian-Dong G., "Development of novel high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy and related studies on surface excitations," *Acta Physica Sinica*, vol. 67, no. 12, p. 127901, 2019. doi: 10.7498/APS.67.20180689.
8. O. Kugeler, S. Marburger, and U. Hergenhahn, "Calculation and measurement of the time-of-flight spread in a hemispherical electron energy analyzer," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 74, no. 9, pp. 3955-3961, 2003. doi: 10.1063/1.1599060.
9. T. Sagara et al., "Resolution improvements for hemispherical energy analyzers," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 77, no. 11, pp. 4201-4207, 2000. doi: 10.1063/1.1319979.

10. T. Ogawa and Y. Takai, "Evaluation of electron optics with an offset cylindrical lens: Application to a monochromator or energy analyzer," *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B*, vol. 36, no. 3, 2018. doi: 10.1116/1.4725775.
11. F. Offi et al., "Design and test of a lens system for a high energy and high resolution electron spectrometer," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors, and Associated Equipment*, vol. 547, no. 1, pp. 454-466, 2005. doi: 10.1016/J.NIMA.2005.04.086.
12. A. I. Alabdullah, K. Q. Khederband, and A. I. Alabdullah, "Exploring the relationship linking the radius and potential difference in hemispherical analyzer energy," *Jordan Journal of Physics*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 107-112, 2025. doi: 10.47011/18.1.12.
13. N. A. Mohammed, Z. Keki, and A. I. Alabdullah, "The effect of the iron arm's relative position to the pole piece tip position on the objective snorkel lens type," *Rafidain Journal of Science*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 39-46, 2022. doi: 10.33899/rjs.2022.176071.
14. A. I. M. Alabdullah, "Simulation study for the characterization of anode shape effect on the electron beam emittance of thermionic electron gun," *Optik*, vol. 268, p. 169761, 2022. doi: 10.1016/j.ijleo.2022.169761.
15. Z. Kambarova, A. Saulebekov, and K. Kopbalina, "Calculation of the electron-optical scheme of a new type mirror energy analyzer of charged particles," *Kaparde! YyHugepcumemiHiH xabapuwsicel*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 49-57, 2022. doi: 10.31489/2022ph2/49-57.
16. K. Honda, S. Katagiri, T. Doi, and M. Sasaki, "A novel design of a retarding field electron energy analyzer with a cavity electrode providing extremely high energy resolution," *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B*, vol. 41, no. 4, 2023. doi: 10.1116/6.0002597.
17. V. Deshpande, "High-performance compact pre-lens retarding field energy analyzer for energy distribution measurements of an electron gun," *Microscopy and Microanalysis*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1989-1997, 2022. doi: 10.1017/51431927622012235.
18. H. R. Lee et al., "High-performance compact pre-lens retarding field energy analyzer for energy distribution measurements of an electron gun," *Microscopy and Microanalysis*, vol. 28, pp. 1989-1997, 2022. doi: 10.1017/5S1431927622012235.
19. Z. Kambarova, A. Saulebekov, and K. Kopbalina, "The electron-optical scheme of the energy analyzer of small-sized electron spectrometer," 2022. doi: 10.56761/efre2022.r5-p-003102.
20. Z. Kambarova, A. A. Trubitsyn, and A. Saulebekov, "Axially symmetric energy analyzer based on the electrostatic decapole-cylindrical field," *Technical Physics*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 1667-1671, 2018. doi: 10.1134/51063784218110142.
21. A. Saulebekov, A. Trubitsyn, Z. Kambarova, and D. Saulebekova, "Electrostatic energy analyzer of charged particles on the basis of a quadrupole-cylindrical field in the 'ring-axis' focusing regime," *Sise, O.*, "Simulation of electron energy spectra of a biased paracentric hemispherical deflection analyzer as a function of entry bias: Effects of misalignments," *Spectroscopy*, 2014, pp. 1-8. doi: 10.1155/2014/152647.
22. X. Zhu et al., "High resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy with two-dimensional energy and momentum mapping," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 86, no. 8, p. 083902, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4928215.
23. H. Ibach, F. C. Bocquet, J. Sforzini, S. Soubatch, and F. S. Tautz, "Electron energy loss spectroscopy with parallel readout of energy and momentum," *arXiv: Instrumentation and Detectors*, 2016. doi: 10.1063/1.4977529.
24. F. Cipriani, F. Leblanc, J. M. Illiano, and J. Berthelier, "A hemispherical retarding field energy analyzer to characterize spatially and angularly extended electron beams," *European Physical Journal Applied Physics*, vol. 60, no. 2, 2012. doi: 10.1051/EPJAP/2012120011.
25. V. D. Belov and M. I. Yavor, "High-resolution energy analyzer with a large angular acceptance for photoelectron spectromicroscopy applications," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 1651-1655, 2000. doi: 10.1063/1.1147513.
26. V. Belov and M. Yavor, "Design of a versatile energy analyzer for photoelectron spectroscopy studies at synchrotron radiation sources," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors, and Associated Equipment*, vol. 470, no. 1, pp. 105-109, 2001. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9002(01)01005-1.
27. G. Martinez et al., "Voltage optimization of a 4-element injection lens on a hemispherical spectrograph with virtual entry aperture," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 369, pp. 92-94, 2016. doi: 10.1016/J.NIMB.2015.10.009.

28. E. P. Benis et al., "A new hemispherical analyser with 2-D PSD and focusing lens for use in OCO electron spectroscopy," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 120-125, 1998. doi: 10.1016/S0168-583X(98)00482-0.
29. E. P. Benis, E. P. Benis, T. J. M. Zouros, T. J. M. Zouros, H. Aliabadi, and P. Richard, "Hemispherical analyser with 2-D PSD for zero-degree Auger projectile spectroscopy," *Physica Scripta*, 1999, pp. 529-531. doi: 10.1238/PHYSICA.TOPICAL.080A00529.
30. E. P. Benis, T. J. M. Zouros, and P. Richard, "High resolution RTE measurements at OCO using a hemispherical analyser with lens and 2-D PSD," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 154, no. 1, pp. 276-280, 1999. doi: 10.1016/S0168-583X(99)00028-2.
31. S. Doukas et al., "Determination of the solid angle and response function of a hemispherical spectrograph with injection lens for Auger electrons emitted from long-lived projectile states," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 86, no. 4, 2015. doi: 10.1063/1.4917274.
32. C. Nounis et al., "Investigation of the dependence of the energy resolution of a hemispherical deflection analyzer on the distance of the position sensitive detector from the focal plane," 2015. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/635/5/052063.
33. M. Dogan, M. Ulu, G. G. Gennarakis, and T. J. M. Zouros, "Experimental energy resolution of a paracentric hemispherical deflector analyzer for different entry positions and bias," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 84, no. 4, 2013. doi: 10.1063/1.4798592.
34. E. P. Benis et al., "Evaluation of the effective solid angle of a hemispherical deflector analyser with injection lens for metastable Auger projectile states," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 365, pp. 457-461, 2015.
35. T. J. M. Zouros, E. P. Benis, and I. Chatzakis, "Optimization of the energy resolution of an ideal ESCA-type hemispherical analyzer," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 235, no. 1, pp. 535-539, 2005.
36. T. J. M. Zouros and E. P. Benis, "Optimal energy resolution of a hemispherical analyzer with virtual entry," *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 86, no. 9, 2005. doi: 10.1063/1.1871339.
37. S. C. Page and A. R. Walker, "Charged particle energy analysers," Patel, K., Withington, S., Shard, A. G., Goldie, D. J., and Thomas, C. N., "Electron spectroscopy using transition-edge sensors," 2024
38. N. Tas, O. Sise, M. Ulu, and M. Dogan, "Energy resolution estimation of hemispherical deflector analyzers using electron ray-tracing simulation," 2007. doi: 10.1063/1.2733094.
39. O. Sise, T. J. M. Zouros, M. Ulu, and M. Dogan, "Novel and traditional fringing field correction schemes for the hemispherical analyser: Comparison of first-order focusing and energy resolution," *Measurement Science and Technology*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 1853-1858, 2007.
40. O. Sise and T. J. M. Zouros, "Transit time spreads in biased paracentric hemispherical deflection analyzers," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, vol. 369, pp. 95-97, 2016. doi: 10.1016/J.NIMB.2015.09.047.
41. Y. Saito et al., "High time resolution electron measurement by fast electron energy spectrum analyzer (FESA)," 2009. doi: 10.1063/1.3169304.
42. K. Nakamae, H. Fujioka, and K. Ura, "A new hemispherical retarding field energy analyser for quantitative voltage measurements in the SEM," *Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 437-443, 1985. doi: 10.1088/0022-3735/18/5/017.
43. B. Wannberg, "Electron optics development for photo-electron spectrometers," *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors, and Associated Equipment*, vol. 607, no. 1, pp. 182-194, 2009. doi: 10.1016/J.NIMA.2008.12.156.
44. M. Dogan, M. Ulu, G. Gennarakis, and T. J. M. Zouros, "Variable entry biased paracentric hemispherical deflector: Experimental results on energy resolution for different entry positions," 2014. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/488/14/142002.
45. G. Hénaff, M. Berthomier, F. L., J.-D. T., G. D., and S. P., "Development of a 3D-printed ion-electron plasma spectrometer with a hemispheric field of view for microsats and planetary missions," *EGU General Assembly 2023*, Vienna, Austria, 24–28 Apr. 2023, EGU23-2838.
46. Z. Kambarova and A. Saulebekov, "Development of a mirror energy analyzer of charged particle beams based on a modified electrostatic field," 2020. doi: 10.1109/EFRE47760.2020.9242029.
47. T. Nohno et al., "Development of high-energy-resolution display-type photoelectron spectrometer in the ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy region," *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 4752-4755, 2003. doi: 10.1143/JJAP.42.4752.